Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/652,656	ITO, TADAO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	James R. Brittain	3677
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>	
(1) <u>James R. Brittain</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Brenda O. Holmes</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 June 2005	Time: <u>9:05</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:  Iseki (US 6324730)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENEF See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
•		
·		•
In Ret		
(Example/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed to amend claim 1 by adding the word "unitarily" in order to avoid any possible interpretation of claim 1 as permitting within its scope the half portions as separate pieces from the base plate through a process of severing the half portions from the plate that forms the base member and then reassembling them as separate pieces on the base member. This eliminates any possibility of an application of the teachings of Iseki that has separate pieces connected together.